

consideration. Per this Amendment, Claims 2 – 4 and 8 have been amended to more clearly point out and distinctly claim the Applicants' invention. Claims 1, 5-7 have been cancelled. No new matter has been added per this amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 5-7 under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over Pampers Phases, Sept 1991 (PP) in view of Tampax multipax. In addition, Examiner objected to claims 2-4 and 8 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim and indicated that the claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Although the Attorney for the Applicants disagrees with the Examiner's rejection of the claims for the reasons presented in the previous Office Action, claims 1 and 5-7 have been canceled per this amendment and claims 2-4 and 8 have been amended in order to overcome the Examiner's objection and advance the case to issue.

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth above, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 2-4 and 8 are in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request allowance of claims 2-4 and 8.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Jay A. Krebs
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 41,914
(513) 626-4856

Cincinnati, Ohio
April 2, 2003